



Introduction

- Tools for engineering and planning need to incorporate climate information
- Design storms (e.g. 100-year, 6-hour storm), used in engineering, are usually calculated from the annual maximums of observed precipitation data sets using extreme value distributions.
- Here, design storm intensities are calculated for a range of durations (3-, 6-, 9-, 12-, 18-, 24-, 48-, and 96-hours) and return periods (2- and 100-year) from NARCCAP data sets using regional frequency analysis (Hosking and Wallis 1997) and the Generalized Extreme Value (GEV) distribution.

Data

Hourly precipitation data from the National Climatic Data Center (NCDC) for seven locations (http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/oa/climate/stationlocator.h tml), all station data are first-order airport stations:

- ➢Portland, OR (61 years)
- Las Vegas, NV (61 years)
- >Denver, CO (61 years)
- ➢ Dallas, TX (36 years)
- Minneapolis-St. Paul, MN (61 years) (St. Paul)
- ➢Orlando, FL (68 years)
- >Albany, NY (61 years)

For the following data sets, data from the grid at each of the above seven locations, and the eight surrounding grids, totaling nine grids per location.

Seven NARCCAP (Mearns et al. 2007) data sets:

- HRM3_HADCM3 (HAD_HAD)
- >WRFG_CCSM
- ≻CRCM_CCSM
- ► CRCM_CGCM3
- >RegCM3_CGCM3 (RCM3_CGCM)
- RegCM3_GFDL (RCM3_CGCM)
- >Timeslice_GFDL (Time_GFDL)
- NCEP/NCAR North American Regional Reanalysis Data (1979-2000)(NARR) (Mesigner et al. 2006)
- Each data set has different spatial resolutions: NARR (32 km), NARCCAP (50 km), and NCDC (point), and depth-area relationships should be considered.
- Recent works have shown that NARR data may not always reflect observations (e.g. Sun and Barros 2010).

Acknowledgment: This study was supported by the Urban Flood Demonstration Program of the United States Army Corps of Engineers.



| Data S | et | |
|--------|-------|---|
| | | |
| CRCM | _CCSM | |
| CRCM | _CGCN | 1 |
| HAD_F | IAD | |
| NCDC | | |
| RCM3_ | _CGCM | |
| RCM3_ | _GFDL | |
| Time_0 | GFDL | |
| WRFG | CCSM | |

Average percentage difference from NARR values. A single average difference value was calculated across all durations for both storm types for a given location. The percentage difference between intensities was separated into shorter (3-, 6-, 9-, 12-) and longer (18-, 24-, 48, 96-) durations.

Extreme Precipitation Intensities for a Range of Durations from NARCCAP Simulations William J. Forsee and Jianting "Julian" Zhu

Division of Hydrologic Sciences, Desert Research Institute, Nevada System of Higher Education, Las Vegas, NV 89119. Email: bill.forsee@dri.edu and julian.zhu@dri.edu.



| Shorter Durations (3-, 6-, 9-, and 12- hours) | | | | | | | | | | Longer Durations (18-, 24-, 48, and 96- hours) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------|--------|--------|-----|---------|------|------|------|-----|--|--------|------|---------|------|------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Albany | Dallas | Denver | LV | Orlando | Port | SP | Alba | iny | Dallas | Denver | LV | Orlando | Port | SP | | | | | | |
| | -0.1 | -0.4 | -0.3 | 0.3 | -0.4 | 0.5 | -0.3 | -0. | 2 | -0.5 | -0.5 | -0.2 | -0.6 | 0.0 | -0.3 | | | | | | |
| | 0.0 | -0.4 | -0.1 | 0.0 | -0.4 | 0.2 | -0.1 | -0. | 1 | -0.6 | -0.4 | -0.4 | -0.6 | 0.0 | -0.2 | | | | | | |
| | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0. | 5 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.9 | -0.2 | 0.8 | | | | | | |
| | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | | | | | | |
| | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0. | 5 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.9 | | | | | | |
| | 0.2 | 0.3 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0. | 5 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | | | | | | |
| | 0.5 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 2.5 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 1. | 1 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 1.2 | | | | | | |
| | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0. | 5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | | | | | | |





| | Summary Table of Delta Change Factors | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|-----|-----|--------|-----|--------|-----|-----------|-----|-----|---------|-----|-----|----------|-----|-----|----------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Data Set | Albany | | | Dallas | | Denver | | Las Vegas | | | Orlando | | | Portland | | | St. Paul | | | | |
| | Mn | Max | Min | Mn | Max | Min | Mn | Max | Min | Mn | Max | Min | Mn | Max | Min | Mn | Max | Min | Mn | Max | Min |
| CRCM_CCSM | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| CRCM_CGCM | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.8 |
| HAD_HAD | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 2.2 | 1.2 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.6 |
| RCM3_CGCM | 1.3 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 1.7 | 2.3 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.0 |
| RCM3_GFDL | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.0 |
| Time_GFDL | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.7 |
| WRFG_CCSM | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.1 |

Table of mean (mn), maximum, and minimum delta change values (future intensity/ historical intensity) for each location. The mean is the average change among all durations for both the 100- and 2- year storm for that location.

Across all locations.

- \geq Delta change factors most often fall between 0.8 and 1.6.
- > Changes tend to be more substantial for the 100-year storm as compared to the 2-year storm.
- \geq Largest positive changes tend to be associated with the HAD_HAD, RCM3_CGCM3, and RCM3_GFDL models.
- \geq Trends in the 100-year and 2-year storm are sometimes not the same.
- \geq The annual cycles of delta change factors were also examined and across all locations:
- There is little convergence among data sets for substantial deviations from 0.0.
- > For a given month, changes rarely exceed 0.1/-0.1.

Summary

- For historical data, CRCM data sets tend to have relatively lower intensities across all durations; all other data sets produced intensities that exceeded NARR intensities for most durations.
- RCM3 and Timeslice_GFDL data sets tend to produce the highest intensities. Walker et al. (2009) studied the RegCM3 model and found biases in extreme daily precipitation in the Western and Southeastern United States, associating the biases with excessive surface/low-level winds and biases in circulation/moisture fields, respectively.
- RCM3 data sets produce a greater percentage of annual maximums in the late summer/fall months as compared to other data sets.
- Delta change factors fall between 0.8 and 1.6 for most durations and locations.

References: Hosking, J.R.M. and Wallis, J.R., 1997. *Regional Frequency Analysis: An Approach based* on L-moments. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. 224 pp.

Mearns, L. O., et al., 2007, updated 2011. The North American Regional Climate Change Assessment Program dataset, National Center for Atmospheric Research Earth System Grid data portal, Boulder, CO Data Downloaded: 2/1/2011. http://www.earthsystemgrid.org/browse/viewProject.htm?projectId=ff3949c8-2008-45c8-8e27-5834f54be50f

Mesinger, F. and Coauthors, 2006. North American regional reanalysis. Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 87 (3), 343-360.

Sun, X. and A. P. Barros, 2010. An Evaluation of the Statistics of Rainfall Extremes in Rain Gauge Observations, and Satellite-Based and Reanalysis Products Using Universal Multifractals. J. *Hydrometeor.*, **11**, 388-404.

Walker, M. D. and N. S. Diffenbaugh, 2009. Evaluation of high-resolution simulations of daily-scale temperature and precipitation over the United States. Clim. Dyn., 33, 1131–1147.

Acknowledgment: We wish to thank the North American Regional Climate Change Assessment Program (NARCCAP) for providing the data used in this paper. NARCCAP is funded by the National Science Foundation (NSF), the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Office of Research and Development (EPA).